American Expression E0892 Like for like

IOTS Publishing Team
International Online Teachers Society
Since 2011

"Like for like" is a comparison method used in various contexts to assess changes, growth, or performance by comparing similar or comparable elements over a specific period. This approach is commonly utilized in business, finance, and other fields to evaluate the impact of changes and measure the true underlying performance without distortion.

In business, "like for like" refers to comparing the performance of similar assets, products, or services over different time periods, typically excluding any external factors that could skew the analysis. This method is often employed by retailers and companies with multiple locations or branches to assess the actual growth or decline of their core business. For example, a retail chain might use like-for-like sales figures to evaluate how much of their revenue growth is attributed to existing stores' improved performance rather than just opening new stores.

In finance, "like for like" is also used to analyze the performance of investments or portfolios. It allows investors to gauge the performance of an asset or portfolio over time without being influenced by external factors like capital injections or withdrawals. By comparing investment returns "like for like," investors can better understand the asset's intrinsic performance and its ability to generate returns.

In real estate, the like-for-like approach is applied to assess property value changes over time. By comparing the prices of similar properties in the same location before and after a specific event or period, such as renovations or changes in market conditions, analysts can determine the property's actual appreciation or depreciation without being affected by external factors.

The like-for-like method is valuable because it helps reveal the true impact of changes by isolating the effects of external variables. This approach is particularly useful when assessing the effectiveness of strategies, measuring growth, or evaluating the success of various initiatives. It assists decision-makers in making informed choices by offering a clear perspective on how much change is attributed to internal factors rather than external influences.

However, it's essential to recognize that isolating external factors entirely can be challenging in some cases. Economic conditions, market trends, and other external influences can still have some impact on the "like for like" comparison, even though the goal is to minimize their effect. Additionally, using the like-for-like approach as the sole measure without considering broader context could lead to oversimplified conclusions.

In conclusion, "like for like" is a comparison method used across various fields to assess changes and performance by comparing similar elements over specific periods while excluding external factors. This method provides valuable insights into the true impact of changes and helps decision-makers make more informed choices based on accurate assessments of growth or decline.

Questions for Discussion

1. How does the "like for like" comparison method differ from other analytical approaches, and what are the benefits of using this method in assessing performance and growth?
2. In what situations is the "like for like" approach most applicable and effective? Are there instances where external factors are too challenging to isolate completely from the analysis?
3. What are the potential limitations or pitfalls of relying solely on "like for like" comparisons? How might these limitations impact decision-making and strategic planning?
4. How can businesses ensure that they are conducting accurate "like for like" comparisons, especially when dealing with complex factors like market trends, changing customer preferences, and macroeconomic shifts?
5. Can you provide examples from different industries where the "like for like" method has been particularly insightful in revealing the true impact of changes or initiatives? How did these insights influence subsequent decisions or strategies?