



American Expression E0180 Comparing apples to oranges

IOTS Publishing Team
International Online Teachers Society
Since 2011

"Comparing apples to oranges" is a popular idiom used to highlight the inherent difficulty or impracticality of comparing two things that are fundamentally different from each other. The phrase emphasizes the idea that certain items, concepts, or situations are so distinct that drawing direct comparisons between them is not meaningful or logical.

The expression originates from the obvious differences between apples and oranges, two types of fruits with distinct characteristics in terms of appearance, taste, texture, and even cultural associations. Apples are typically round, firm, and have a wide range of flavors, while oranges are spherical, juicy, and known for their citrus taste.

When someone says that comparing two things is like "comparing apples to oranges," they are suggesting that the two entities being compared are fundamentally dissimilar and cannot be evaluated or assessed in the same way. It serves as a reminder that context, purpose, and specific attributes play crucial roles in determining the appropriateness of making comparisons.

The idiom is commonly used to discourage inappropriate or flawed comparisons, particularly in discussions, debates, or analyses where drawing accurate conclusions requires considering the unique qualities and characteristics of each item or situation being evaluated.

For example, comparing the intelligence of a human to that of a dog using a single metric would be seen as comparing apples to oranges. Humans and dogs possess different cognitive abilities, and evaluating their intelligence requires taking into account various factors, such as their respective capacities for language, problem-solving, and social behaviors.

The phrase also serves as a reminder that individuality and diversity should be respected and appreciated. It encourages recognizing and valuing the unique qualities, strengths, and contributions of different people, ideas, or objects without forcing them into narrow or inappropriate comparisons.

While the phrase highlights the limitations of comparing dissimilar things, it does not mean that all comparisons are invalid or unhelpful. When making comparisons, it is essential to consider the specific context, relevant criteria, and intended purpose to ensure meaningful and valid assessments.

In conclusion, "comparing apples to oranges" is an idiomatic expression that underscores the difficulty and impracticality of comparing fundamentally different things. It reminds us to approach comparisons with caution and to consider the specific characteristics, context, and purpose behind the comparison. By recognizing and respecting the unique qualities of each item or situation being evaluated, we can foster a more nuanced understanding and appreciate the diversity that exists in our world.

Questions for Discussion

1. What are some common examples of situations where comparing apples to oranges is frequently encountered? How does recognizing the differences between the two help in avoiding flawed comparisons?
 2. Have you ever witnessed a conversation or debate where individuals were unintentionally comparing apples to oranges? How did that affect the clarity and effectiveness of the discussion?
 3. Can you think of any instances where comparing apples to oranges might be valuable or appropriate? What factors would need to be considered to ensure a fair and meaningful comparison?
 4. How does the concept of comparing apples to oranges relate to the importance of understanding context and considering multiple perspectives when evaluating different entities or ideas?
 5. In what ways can the understanding of "comparing apples to oranges" be applied to promote inclusivity and appreciation of diversity in various aspects of life, such as culture, talents, or personal experiences?
-